

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/693,461 10/27/2003 Nobuyoshi Minami 2003\_1562 2942 **EXAMINER** 513 06/10/2005 7590 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. COVINGTON, RAYMOND K 2033 K STREET N. W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 1625

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/693,461	MINAMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Covington	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replytion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	27 October 2003.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1 and 11 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2-10 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached C	Diffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-</li> </ul>	· —	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Application/Control Number: 10/693,461

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The nature of the invention: The nature of the invention is the intended use of a pyrazole product of formula (I).

Art Unit: 1625

The state of the prior art and predictability: The intended uses recited in claim 11 require a certain outcome. There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each intended use to be individually assessed for an expected outcome. In re Fisher, 427 F. 2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute. In the instant case, the instantly claimed invention is highly unpredictable since one skilled in the art would not recognize the expected outcomes of so many unrelated diseases or disorders. No class of compounds or single compound has been found effective in treating, preventing, ameliorating such a myriad of unrelated diseases or disorders. The diseases or disorders listed in claim 11 may not be subject to prophylaxis (prevention) or amelioration (improvement). The intended use may be merely treatable for a certain aspect of diseases or disorders. For example, cancer, Alzheimer's', infertility, baldness.

Guidance and working examples: There is no demonstration of a correlation between the compound and the diseases or disorders. Many of the intended diseases or disorders named are in fact a CLASS of disorders or diseases. No single compound or class of compounds is known to treat all the sub-categories of

Application/Control Number: 10/693,461

Art Unit: 1625

a particular type of disease or disorder. By way of example, applicants name diseases or disorders associated with ---inflammatory reactions, abnormal angiogenesis affecting body tissue, cancer, and degenerative changes within the walls of blood vessels---.

Deletion of the intended uses would overcome this rejection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The undefined point of attachment for substituent  $R^2$  is indefinite. It is not clear whether  $R^2$  is intended to attach to one of the ring nitrogens, or, whether  $R^2$  also attaches to the Q,  $R^1$  nor  $R^3$  substituents. Correction and/or clarification is required.

Claims 2-10 are objected to as depending from a rejected base claim, claim 1, but would be allowable pending resolution of the next above rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Covington
Examiner

Art Unit 1625

Desar 8/05

/⁄e RKC